

ERJ open research

## Evaluation of inter-observer variation for computed tomography identification of childhood interstitial lung disease

## To the Editor:

Interstitial lung diseases (ILDs) that present in childhood (chILD) are seen far less frequently than ILDs presenting in adults which themselves constitute rare disorders [1]. Histopathological [2, 3] and imaging [4] characterisation of chILD disease subtypes therefore lags behind adult ILDs. The field has also been constrained by comparisons with disease morphology in adults, despite the developmental differences in terms of growth and healing in the paediatric lung, which may alter disease patterns and distributions. The American Thoracic Society [5] and European [1] chILD management guidelines both specify a pivotal role for computed tomography (CT) imaging in the work-up of chILD patients to: 1) determine whether a chILD is present or not; and 2) where possible, to make a specific diagnosis of the underlying cause. For the second aim to be achieved, diagnostic reviews need to be reproducible between experts. Our study uniquely examined agreement between observers of varying experience in the CT evaluation of chILD to inform whether the current status of CT imaging and knowledge can be diagnostic of specific chILDs. We hypothesised that observer agreement for chILD groups and diagnoses would be limited. The study was not designed to relate CT agreement to final diagnosis. As a secondary analysis, we examined how CT interpretation differed between observers in children under and over 2 years of age.

84 patients (<2 years, n=35) from 13 countries, referred to the Royal Brompton Hospital paediatric ILD unit from 1999 to 2014 were re-evaluated by 10 observers: three chILD sub-specialist radiologists with >25 years' experience (C.M. Owens, P. Garcia-Peña, A.S. Brody); four chILD pulmonologists with >20 years' experience (S. Cunningham, T.J. Vece, P. Aurora, A. Moreno-Galdó); and three radiologists with 5–10 years' chILD experience (A. Calder, P. Toma, T.A. Watson). Consecutive patients were chosen and included in the study if clinically indicated DICOM format CTs were retrievable and of acceptable quality (high-resolution,  $\leq 2$  mm slice thickness) as determined by an independent radiologist (J. Jacob). Observers were given details of patient sex and age. Each scorer provided up to three choices when assigning a chILD diagnostic group or individual chILD diagnosis from a preselected list. For each choice, a measure of group/diagnostic likelihood was also assigned with confidence scores ranging from 0% to 100%: 100%=pathognomonic, 70–90%=high confidence, 40–60%=moderate confidence, 10–30%=low confidence, 0%=no confidence. The choices were based on previous histopathological work [2].

The Fleiss Kappa evaluated observer agreement for first-choice chILD groups/individual diagnoses. For chILD groups/individual diagnoses where agreement was at least fair, observer confidence was examined using linear weighted kappas [6]. Results were reanalysed according to patient age (<2 years *versus* >2 years, the conventional dichotomy in chILD classifications [7]) given the variable prevalence of chILD disease groups/individual diagnoses across these age ranges. Agreement was also examined in observer subgroups (senior *versus* junior radiologists *versus* senior pulmonologists). Statistical analyses were performed with R: A language and environment for statistical computing [8]. Approval for the study was obtained from the Institutional Research Committee of the Royal Brompton Hospital (Project 1157).

@ERSpublications

Making chILD diagnoses on CT is poorly reproducible, even amongst sub-specialists. CT might best improve diagnostic confidence in a multidisciplinary team setting when augmented with clinical, functional and haematological results. http://bit.ly/327jRCw

**Cite this article as:** Jacob J, Owens CM, Brody AS, *et al.* Evaluation of inter-observer variation for computed tomography identification of childhood interstitial lung disease. *ERJ Open Res* 2019; 5: 00100-2019 [https://doi.org/10.1183/23120541.00100-2019].

Copyright ©ERS 2019. This article is open access and distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial Licence 4.0.





TABLE 1 Assignations of first-choice individual childhood interstitial lung disease (chILD) group or diagnosis in children under 2 years of age (n=35) and over 2 years of age (n=49) by 10 observers

| chILD category  | chILD group/diagnosis  | Numbers of<br>first-choice<br>assignations |          |  |
|-----------------|--|--|----------|--|
|                 |  | <2 years                                   | >2 years |  |
| chILD groups    | Normal   | 3  | 18       |  |
|                 | Airways disease <sup>#</sup>                                   | 77   | 170      |  |
|                 | Interstitial pneumonia   | 126  | 200      |  |
|                 | Developmental/undefined aetiology disorders <sup>11</sup>      | 99   | 48       |  |
|                 | Unclassifiable   | 4  | 13       |  |
|                 | Bronchopulmonary dysplasia                                     | 29   | 10       |  |
|                 | Other  | 12   | 31       |  |
| chILD Diagnoses | Exudative bronchiolitis  | 4  | 2        |  |
|                 | Constrictive obliterative bronchiolitis                        | 10   | 48       |  |
|                 | Infection  | 48   | 86       |  |
|                 | Chronic pneumonitis of infancy                                 | 47   | 12       |  |
|                 | Pulmonary alveolar proteinosis                                 | 53   | 24       |  |
|                 | Desquamative interstitial pneumonia                            | 8  | 13       |  |
|                 | Nonspecific interstitial pneumonia (cellular)                  | 16   | 34       |  |
|                 | Diffuse alveolar damage  | 3  | 8        |  |
|                 | Fibrotic nonspecific interstitial pneumonia                    | 1  | 47       |  |
|                 | Organising pneumonia   | 0  | 30       |  |
|                 | Diffuse alveolar haemorrhage                                   | 1  | 11       |  |
|                 | Alveolar microlithiasis  | 0  | 3        |  |
|                 | Sarcoidosis  | 0  | 6        |  |
|                 | Langerhans cell histiocytosis                                  | 1  | 12       |  |
|                 | Lung growth abnormality/chronic disease of prematurity         | 83   | 12       |  |
|                 | Pulmonary interstitial glycogenosis                            | 11   | 0        |  |
|                 | Neuroendocrine cell hyperplasia of infancy                     | 30   | 15       |  |
|                 | Lymphoid interstitial pneumonia                                | 1  | 23       |  |
|                 | Diffuse lymphoid hyperplasia                                   | 1  | 2        |  |
|                 | Lymphomatoid granulomatosis                                    | 1  | 4        |  |
|                 | Follicular bronchiolitis                                       | 0  | 6        |  |
|                 | Bronchus-associated lymphoid tissue                            | 0  | 1        |  |
|                 | Primary pulmonary arterial hypertension                        | 1  | 4        |  |
|                 | PVOD/PCH   | 3  | 5        |  |
|                 | Thromboembolic disease   | 1  | 3        |  |
|                 | Lymphangiomatosis  | 1  | 1        |  |
|                 | Lymphangiectasia (primary and secondary)                       | 7  | 7        |  |
|                 | Secondary vasculopathies ( <i>e.g.</i> due to cardiac disease) | 6  | 2        |  |
|                 | Primary and secondary pulmonary vasculitis                     | 1  | 14       |  |
|                 | Neoplasms  | 0  | 9        |  |
|                 | Alternate diagnosis  | 11   | 24       |  |

PVOD: pulmonary veno-occlusive disease; PCH: pulmonary capillary haemangiomatosis. <sup>#</sup>: airways disease included infection and obliterative and exudative bronchiolitis; <sup>1</sup>: developmental/undefined aetiology disorders included growth disorders such as alveolar hypoplasia, neuroendocrine cell hyperplasia of infancy and pulmonary interstitial glycogenosis.

840 first-choice chILD group/diagnostic assignations were made by the 10 observers (table 1). chILD groups/diagnoses whose frequency was <10% of the total number of first-choice diagnoses in patients under and over 2 years of age, were grouped as "others", in accord with previous studies [9]. Four chILD groups were analysed: airways disease (n=247), interstitial pneumonia (n=326), developmental/undefined aetiology disorders (n=147) and others (n=120). Five chILD diagnoses could be analysed in patients <2 years: infection (n=48), chronic pneumonitis of infancy (CPI; n=47), pulmonary alveolar proteinosis (PAP, n=53), developmental/undefined aetiology disorders (n=119). Four chILD diagnoses could be analysed in patients >2 years: obliterative bronchiolitis (n=48), infection (n=86), fibrotic nonspecific interstitial pneumonia (fNSIP; n=47) and others (n=309).

TABLE 2 Fleiss kappa values for observer agreement for first choice group assignation in the 84 study cases. Sub-analyses are shown for patients under 2 years of age (n=35) and over 2 years of age (n=49)

| Patient groups       | Observer groups       | All cases        | Airways disease <sup>#</sup> | Interstitial pneumonia | Developmental/undefined <sup>¶</sup> |
|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| All patients         | All observers         | 0.30 (0.28–0.32) | 0.37 (0.34–0.41)             | 0.36 (0.33–0.40)       | 0.18 (0.15–0.21)                     |
|                      | Senior radiologists   | 0.35 (0.27-0.42) | 0.46 (0.34–0.58)             | 0.44 (0.31–0.56)       | 0.16 (0.04-0.29)                     |
|                      | Senior pulmonologists | 0.28 (0.23-0.33) | 0.34 (0.25–0.42)             | 0.36 (0.27–0.45)       | 0.21 (0.12-0.29)                     |
|                      | Junior radiologists   | 0.31 (0.23–0.38) | 0.45 (0.33-0.57)             | 0.39 (0.27-0.51)       | 0.07 (-0.06-0.19)                    |
| Patients <2 years of | All observers         | 0.22 (0.19-0.25) | 0.28 (0.23-0.33)             | 0.30 (0.25-0.35)       | 0.14 (0.09–0.19)                     |
| age                  | Senior radiologists   | 0.36 (0.24-0.48) | 0.61 (0.42-0.80)             | 0.46 (0.26–0.65)       | 0.15 (-0.05-0.34)                    |
|                      | Senior pulmonologists | 0.18 (0.10-0.26) | 0.24 (0.11-0.38)             | 0.26 (0.12-0.39)       | 0.16 (0.02-0.29)                     |
|                      | Junior radiologists   | 0.13 (0.01–0.24) | 0.35 (0.16-0.54)             | 0.19 (-0.00-0.38)      | -0.05 (-0.24-0.14)                   |
| Patients >2 years of | All observers         | 0.33 (0.31–0.36) | 0.40 (0.36-0.45)             | 0.40 (0.36–0.44)       | 0.11 (0.07–0.15)                     |
| age                  | Senior radiologists   | 0.31 (0.21-0.41) | 0.36 (0.20-0.53)             | 0.42 (0.26-0.58)       | 0.12 (-0.04-0.29)                    |
|                      | Senior pulmonologists | 0.32 (0.25-0.40) | 0.36 (0.24–0.47)             | 0.43 (0.32-0.55)       | 0.09 (-0.02-0.21)                    |
|                      | Junior radiologists   | 0.42 (0.32–0.53) | 0.52 (0.36–0.68)             | 0.48 (0.32–0.64)       | 0.09 (-0.07-0.25)                    |

<sup>#</sup>: airways disease included infection and obliterative and exudative bronchiolitis; <sup>1</sup>: developmental/undefined aetiology disorders included growth disorders such as alveolar hypoplasia, neuroendocrine cell hyperplasia of infancy and pulmonary interstitial glycogenosis.

When subanalysed according to patient age, observer agreement for first-choice chILD groups was moderate for airways disease and interstitial pneumonia in patients >2 years, but only fair in patients <2 years (table 2). There was generally less observer variation between senior radiologists than pulmonologists. In patients <2 years senior radiologists demonstrated better agreement than other observers. High confidence chILD group assignations (>70%) were more common in children >2 years and increased with observer seniority (data not shown). Encouragingly, agreement for diagnostic groups was best for infection/airways disease where conservative management without biopsy may be preferable.

Observer agreement in assigning first-choice individual chILD diagnoses was moderate for obliterative bronchiolitis (in children >2 years), and fair for other diagnoses except CPI where agreement was poor. Further analyses were only performed in individual diagnoses where agreement was at least fair. Observer agreement for diagnostic likelihoods were moderate for obliterative bronchiolitis (children >2 years of age), but were at best only fair for other diagnoses, regardless of patient age (data not shown). Observer agreement for diagnostic likelihood of infection in children <2 years was poor across all observer subgroups.

We report poor agreement between even experienced thoracic radiologists for the identification of most chILD groups and individual diagnoses across ages. The lower observer agreement for individual chILD diagnoses compared with chILD diagnostic groups may reflect differing methods by which radiologists classify CT appearances. Some observers might classify according to histological pattern and distinguish features of NSIP from PAP in a child >2 years, while others might classify according to suspected aetiology and recognise both patterns as suggesting a surfactant protein disorder. Our findings stress the need for a new common uniform descriptor language for CT appearances, because the present system is not reproducible and therefore confusing.

The current classification system in chILD is based on histopathological diagnoses [2, 7], and as occurred with adult fibrosing lung diseases, it is hoped that histopathological descriptors will inform those CT features that are of importance in delineating childhood disease. Two early studies examining diagnostic accuracy using radiological-histopathological correlations in a small subset of chILD patients demonstrated that CT features could identify histopathological diagnoses with acceptable accuracy [4, 10]. However, prior to the evaluation of CT diagnostic accuracy it is essential to understand whether expert readers can coalesce on a single diagnosis with sufficient frequency that a single CT diagnosis can be compared to a single histopathological diagnosis.

Study limitations included the time frame over which cases were acquired, where CT imaging protocols and quality varied widely. However, imaging heterogeneity is an unavoidable constraint when examining real-world paediatric data and we actively sought to draw real-world conclusions on chILD observer agreement. Limiting clinical information to patient age and sex might have increased observer disagreement. However rather than examining diagnostic accuracy, our *a priori* study aim was to understand the limitations of CT interrogation of chILD and identify those conditions where pattern recognition alone is insufficient to make a confident diagnosis. Though the study case mix from a tertiary centre is likely to have been weighted towards more challenging presentations of disease, chILD cases are only seen with sufficient frequency to allow analysis in such centres.

Our study is the largest examination of CT imaging in chILD cases, scored by the largest number of observers, to date, who importantly were from different specialties. We demonstrate that making chILD diagnoses on CT is difficult, even amongst sub-specialists. Considering the current state of knowledge in the field, we found that agreement for chILD diagnostic groups was generally better than for individual diagnoses and was highest amongst senior radiologists. Further detailed work is needed to understand if, with studies and training, improvements in CT diagnostic agreement are possible. Additionally, the ability of the multidisciplinary team to improve diagnostic confidence by augmenting radiology with clinical, functional and haematological results, as per guideline recommendations [1, 5] requires further study.

Joseph Jacob <sup>1,2</sup>, Catherine M. Owens<sup>3</sup>, Alan S. Brody<sup>4</sup>, Thomas Semple <sup>5</sup>, Tom A. Watson<sup>3</sup>, Alistair Calder<sup>3</sup>, Pilar Garcia-Peña<sup>6</sup>, Paolo Toma <sup>7</sup>, Anand Devaraj<sup>5</sup>, Henry Walton<sup>8</sup>, Antonio Moreno-Galdó<sup>9</sup>, Paul Aurora<sup>10</sup>, Alexandra Rice<sup>11</sup>, Timothy J. Vece<sup>12</sup>, Steve Cunningham<sup>13</sup>, Andre Altmann <sup>6</sup>, Athol U. Wells<sup>14</sup>, Andrew G. Nicholson<sup>11</sup> and Andrew Bush<sup>15</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Dept of Respiratory Medicine, University College London, London, UK. <sup>2</sup>Centre for Medical Image Computing, University College London, London, UK. <sup>3</sup>Dept of Radiology, Great Ormond Street Hospital, London, UK. <sup>4</sup>Dept of Radiology, University of Cincinnati College of Medicine, and Cincinnati Children's Hospital, Cincinnati, OH, USA. <sup>5</sup>Dept of Radiology, Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK. <sup>6</sup>Dept of Pediatric Radiology, University Hospital Vall d'Hebron, Barcelona, Spain. <sup>7</sup>Dept of Radiology, Ospedale Pediatrico Bambino Gesù, Rome, Italy. <sup>8</sup>Dept of Radiology, Royal Free London NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK. <sup>9</sup>Dept of Paediatric Respiratory Medicine, University Hospital Vall d'Hebron, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain; CIBERER, Spain. <sup>10</sup>Dept of Paediatric Respiratory Medicine, Great Ormond Street Hospital, London, UK. <sup>11</sup>Dept of Hisopathology, Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust and National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College, London, UK. <sup>12</sup>Dept of Pediatrics, Division of Pediatric Pulmonology, University of North Carolina-Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, NC, USA. <sup>13</sup>Centre for Inflammation Research, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK. <sup>14</sup>Interstitial Lung Disease Unit, Royal Brompton and Harefield NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK. <sup>15</sup>Depts of Paediatrics and Paediatric Respiratory Medicine, Imperial College and Royal Brompton Hospital, London, UK.

Correspondence: Joseph Jacob, Dept of Respiratory Medicine, First Floor, Rayne Institute, University Street, London, WC1E 6JF. E-mail: j.jacob@ucl.ac.uk

Received: April 20 2019 | Accepted after revision: June 06 2019

Author contributions: JJ, CMO, ASB, TS, TAW, AC, PG-P, PT, AM-G, PA, AD, HW, TJV, SC, AA, AUW, AGN, AR, AB were involved in either the acquisition, or analysis or interpretation of data for the study. JJ, CMO and AB were also involved in the conception and design of the study. All authors revised the work for important intellectual content and gave final approval for the version to be published. All authors agree to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Conflict of interest: J. Jacob reports personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim (advisory board fees) and Roche, outside the submitted work. C.M. Owens reports personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, outside the submitted work. A.S. Brody reports personal fees from Vertex, outside the submitted work. T. Semple reports personal fees from Vertex, outside the submitted work. T.A. Watson has nothing to disclose. A. Calder has nothing to disclose. P. Garcia-Peña has nothing to disclose. P. Toma has nothing to disclose. A. Devaraj reports personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, Roche, and GSK, outside the submitted work. H. Walton has nothing to disclose. A. Moreno-Galdó reports personal fees from Abbvie, Actelion, and Novartis, outside the submitted work. P. Aurora has nothing to disclose. A. Rice reports personal fees from Boehringer Ingelheim, outside the submitted work. A. Altmann has nothing to disclose. A.U. Wells reports personal fees from Intermune (advisory board and speaker fees), Boehringer Inlgeheim (advisory board and speaker fees), Gilead (advisory board fees), MSD (advisory board fees), Roche (advisory board and speaker fees), Bayer (advisory board and speaker fees), and Chiesi (speaker fees), Roche, Medical Quantitative Image analysis, and Galapagos, outside the submitted work. A. Bush has nothing to disclose.

Support statement: Joseph Jacob was supported by Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Career Development Fellowship 209553/Z/17/Z. Andrew Bush is an Emeritus NIHR Senior Investigator and is supported by chILD-EU (FP7, No: 305653) and the European Cooperation in Science and Technology COST A16125. Andre Altmann holds an MRC eMedLab Medical Bioinformatics Career Development Fellowship. This work was supported by the Medical Research Council (grant number MR/L016311/1). Funding information for this article has been deposited with the Crossref Funder Registry.

## References

Bush A, Cunningham S, de Blic J, *et al.* European protocols for the diagnosis and initial treatment of interstitial lung disease in children. *Thorax* 2015; 70: 1078–1084.

- 2 Rice A, Tran-Dang M-A, Bush A, *et al.* Diffuse lung disease in infancy and childhood: expanding the chILD classification. *Histopathology* 2013; 63: 743–755.
- <sup>3</sup> Fan LL, Dishop MK, Galambos C, *et al.* Diffuse lung disease in biopsied children 2 to 18 years of age. Application of the chILD classification scheme. *Ann Am Thorac Soc* 2015; 12: 1498–1505.
- 4 Copley SJ, Coren M, Nicholson AG, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of thin-section CT and chest radiography of pediatric interstitial lung disease. AJR 2000; 174: 549–554.
- 5 Kurland G, Deterding RR, Hagood JS, et al. An Official American Thoracic Society Clinical Practice Guideline: classification, evaluation, and management of childhood interstitial lung disease in infancy. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2013; 188: 376–394.
- 6 Walsh SL, Calandriello L, Sverzellati N, et al. Hansell DM, null n. Interobserver agreement for the ATS/ERS/JRS/ ALAT criteria for a UIP pattern on CT. Thorax 2016; 71: 45–51.
- 7 Deutsch GH, Young LR, Deterding RR, et al. Diffuse lung disease in young children: application of a novel classification scheme. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 2007; 176: 1120–1128.
- 8 R Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna, R Foundation for Statistical Computing, 2016.
- 9 Aziz ZA, Wells AU, Hansell DM, et al. HRCT diagnosis of diffuse parenchymal lung disease: inter-observer variation. Thorax 2004; 59: 506-511.
- 10 Lynch DA, Hay T, Newell JD, Jr., et al. Pediatric diffuse lung disease: diagnosis and classification using high-resolution CT. AJR 1999; 173: 713–718.