World Journal of Gastroenterology

World J Gastroenterol 2023 February 14; 29(6): 908-1122





Contents

Weekly Volume 29 Number 6 February 14, 2023

OPINION REVIEW

908 COVID-19 and liver injury in individuals with obesity

> Lempesis IG, Karlafti E, Papalexis P, Fotakopoulos G, Tarantinos K, Lekakis V, Papadakos SP, Cholongitas E, Georgakopoulou VE

917 Medical consultation in ulcerative colitis: Key elements for improvement

González-Lama Y, Ricart E, Cábez A, Fortes P, Gómez S, Casellas F

REVIEW

926 Resistance to targeted therapy in metastatic colorectal cancer: Current status and new developments

Tang YL, Li DD, Duan JY, Sheng LM, Wang X

949 Decade of optimizing therapy with direct-acting antiviral drugs and the changing profile of patients with chronic hepatitis C

Brzdęk M, Zarębska-Michaluk D, Invernizzi F, Cilla M, Dobrowolska K, Flisiak R

967 Periodontal treatment and microbiome-targeted therapy in management of periodontitis-related nonalcoholic fatty liver disease with oral and gut dysbiosis

Kuraji R, Shiba T, Dong TS, Numabe Y, Kapila YL

997 Contributions of the receptor for advanced glycation end products axis activation in gastric cancer

Rojas A, Lindner C, Schneider I, González I, Morales MA

1011 Implications of recent neoadjuvant clinical trials on the future practice of radiotherapy in locally advanced

rectal cancer

Kang MK

1026 Therapeutic interventions target the NLRP3 inflammasome in ulcerative colitis: Comprehensive study

Ali FE, Ibrahim IM, Ghogar OM, Abd-alhameed EK, Althagafy HS, Hassanein EH

MINIREVIEWS

1054 Immunotherapy for hepatocellular carcinoma: Current status and future perspectives

Mandlik DS, Mandlik SK, Choudhary HB

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Study

1076 Clinical-radiomics nomogram for predicting esophagogastric variceal bleeding risk noninvasively in patients with cirrhosis

Luo R, Gao J, Gan W, Xie WB



World Journal of Gastroenterology

Contents

Weekly Volume 29 Number 6 February 14, 2023

Observational Study

1090 Survival benefit of younger gastric cancer patients in China and the United States: A comparative study

Niu PH, Zhao LL, Wang WQ, Zhang XJ, Li ZF, Luan XY, Chen YT

SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

1109 Relation of COVID-19 with liver diseases and their impact on healthcare systems: The Portuguese case Fernandes S, Sosa-Napolskij M, Lobo G, Silva I

Contents

Weekly Volume 29 Number 6 February 14, 2023

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastroenterology, Neil Donald Merrett, MBBS, FRACS, Professor, Division of Surgery, Western Sydney University, Locked Bag 1797, Penrith NSW 2571, Australia. n.merrett@westernsydney.edu.au

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastroenterology (WJG, World J Gastroenterol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastroenterology and hepatology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online. WJG mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastroenterology and hepatology and covering a wide range of topics including gastroenterology, hepatology, gastrointestinal endoscopy, gastrointestinal surgery, gastrointestinal oncology, and pediatric gastroenterology.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJG is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Current Contents/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports, Index Medicus, MEDLINE, PubMed, PubMed Central, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 impact factor (IF) for WJG as 5.374; IF without journal self cites: 5.187; 5-year IF: 5.715; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.84; Ranking: 31 among 93 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q2. The WJG's CiteScore for 2021 is 8.1 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2021: Gastroenterology is 18/149.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Hua-Ge Yu; Production Department Director: Xu Guo; Editorial Office Director: Jia-Ru Fan.

NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Gastroenterology

ISSN

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

LAUNCH DATE

October 1, 1995

FREQUENCY

Weekly

EDITORS-IN-CHIEF

Andrzei S Tarnawski

EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS

http://www.wignet.com/1007-9327/editorialboard.htm

PUBLICATION DATE

February 14, 2023

COPYRIGHT

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204

GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287

GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240

PUBLICATION ETHICS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288

PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208

ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242

STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239

ONLINE SUBMISSION

https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World | Gastroenterol 2023 February 14; 29(6): 917-925

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

OPINION REVIEW

Medical consultation in ulcerative colitis: Key elements for improvement

Yago González-Lama, Elena Ricart, Ana Cábez, Pilar Fortes, Susana Gómez, Francesc Casellas

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v29.i6.917

Provenance and peer review:

Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Dragoni G, Italy; Huang JG, Singapore

Received: October 20, 2022 Peer-review started: October 20,

First decision: November 5, 2022 Revised: November 18, 2022 Accepted: January 20, 2023 Article in press: January 20, 2023 Published online: February 14, 2023



Yago González-Lama, Inflammatory Bowel Disease Unit, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Hospital Universitario Puerta de Hierro-Majadahonda, Madrid 28222, Spain

Elena Ricart, Inflammatory Bowel Disease Unit, Department of Gastroenterology, Hospital Clínic, Barcelona 08036, Spain

Elena Ricart, Francesc Casellas, Biomedical Research Networking Center in Hepatic and Digestive Diseases (CIBERehd), Barcelona 08036, Spain

Ana Cábez, Pilar Fortes, Department of Medical, Pfizer Spain, Madrid 28108, Spain

Susana Gómez, Servicio de Reumatología, Hospital Universitario de Salamanca, Salamanca 37007, Spain

Francesc Casellas, Department of Gastroenterology, Vall d'Hebron Research Institute, Barcelona 08035, Spain

Corresponding author: Francesc Casellas, MD, Doctor, Department of Gastroenterology, Vall d'Hebron Research Institute, Pg Vall d'Hebron 119-129, Barcelona 08035, Spain. fcasellas@vhebron.net

Abstract

Ulcerative colitis (UC) is a chronic inflammatory disease with a high impact. In order to improve patient outcomes, the clinician-patient relationship in daily practice is critical. Clinical guidelines provide a framework for UC diagnosis and treatment. However, standard procedures and the medical content focused upon medical consultations in UC patients has not yet been defined. Moreover, UC is a complex disease, given that patient characteristics and patient needs have been proven to vary during clinical consultation since establishing the diagnosis and upon the course of the disease. In this article, we have discussed the key elements and specific objectives to consider in medical consultation, such as diagnosis, first visits, follow-up visits, active disease patients, patients on topical therapies, new treatment initiation, refractory patients, extra-intestinal manifestations, as well as challenging situations. The key elements have been mentioned to comprise effective communication techniques, motivational interviewing (MI), as well as information and educational aspects, or organizational issues. The key elements to be implemented in daily practice were reported to comprise several general principles like duly prepared consultations, in addition to honesty and empathy with patients, as well as effective communication techniques, MI, information and educational points, or organizational issues. The role of other healthcare professionals such as specialized nurses, psychologists, or the use of checklists was also discussed and commented on.

Key Words: Ulcerative colitis; Patient experience; Shared decision making; Medical consultation; Motivational interviewing; Patient education

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Nowadays, patient-centered medical care has become accepted as a preferred care model, especially concerning chronic and heterogeneous diseases like ulcerative colitis. Clearly, medical consultations can substantially be improved by defining objectives along with the patients and adapting decisions and actions to this specific context. This comprises disease clinical features and stage, such as diagnosis, flare, and others, disease impact and patient's needs, as well as patient's opinions and preferences. Moreover, improvement can be obtained if we put into practice different resources that have demonstrated their efficacy in improving patient care such as effective communication techniques, motivational interviewing, or the use of checklists.

Citation: González-Lama Y, Ricart E, Cábez A, Fortes P, Gómez S, Casellas F. Medical consultation in ulcerative colitis: Key elements for improvement. *World J Gastroenterol* 2023; 29(6): 917-925

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v29/i6/917.htm

DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v29.i6.917

INTRODUCTION

Ulcerative colitis (UC) is a chronic inflammatory disease that affects approximately 250 people out of 100000[1,2]. Different studies have reported the great impact of UC on patients, health systems, and society[3-6].

Currently, patient-centered medical care is synonymous with good-quality care, and it has become accepted as a preferred care model[7]. Effective clinician-patient communication is one of the cornerstones of patient-centered care, with proven impact on treatment adherence[8] and patient outcomes[9]. Key factors of effective communication have been shown to include patient understanding, trust, and respecting patients' preferences, in addition to shared decision-making. These factors are instrumental in influencing health outcomes like increased treatment adherence or better self-care skills[10].

On the other hand, UC characteristics and needs have been shown to greatly vary since the time the diagnosis has been made and during the disease course. Indeed, a recent publication demonstrated that patient preferences with respect to the disease management, disease information, as well as other items, differs depending on the context[11]. As a consequence, clinician-patient communication and decisions should similarly be adapted in daily practice.

Taking into account all of this information, we sought to define particular clinical scenarios and key elements to be considered upon medical consultation. For this purpose, we conducted a literature review and gathered expert's opinions. Although this work is focused on UC, most of the results can be applied to patients with other types of inflammatory bowel disease (IBD).

OVERARCHING PRINCIPLES IN A MEDICAL CONSULTATION FOR UC

Along with a set of overarching principles (Table 1), we have proposed eight particular clinical scenarios for which key elements and specific attitudes should be accounted for (Table 2).

Shared decision-making is increasingly advocated as an ideal model of therapeutic decision-making. In this model, the physician has the responsibility to inform the patients and provide them advice, whereas the actual decisions on how to act on this information are being made in a collaborative work environment between the patient and physician[12].

Among overarching principles during clinical consultation (Table 1), apart from an appropriate environment and preparation to the consultation, honesty and empathy with patients are essential, as is the adaptation of the physician's language and terms to the patients' understanding by avoiding technical terms that may not be fully understood. These features should always be considered as being dynamic when providing care to UC patients.

Taking into account UC's complexity, impact, and related treatments, in addition to considering non-adherence rates, it is essential to include behavioral interventions that likely improve patient outcomes

Table 1 Overarching principles for the clinical consultation in patients with ulcerative colitis

Overarching principles

- 1 Appropriate environment where the clinical consultation takes place (tidy, clean, a chair for accompanying person, etc.)
- 2 Motivational interviewing
- 3 Use of appropriate verbal and non-verbal language
- 4 Good manners (salutation, face to face interaction, etc.) and empathy
- 5 Be honest about what we know, what we do not know and describe actions regarding what to do/what to avoid
- 6 Ideally prepare and organize the clinical consultation before seeing the patient (medical history, check labs, imaging tests, etc.)
- Establish the objectives of the clinical consultation:

Adapted to each clinical scenario

Adapted (including the message) to each patient's features

Taking into account patient's opinion and preferences

[8,13,14]. One such intervention is motivational interviewing (MI)[15]. MI is a directive patient-centered style of counselling, designed to help people further explore and resolve ambivalence about behavior changes. MI involves providers communicating in supportive, caring, and empathic ways to resolve a patient's ambivalence in view of health behavior change [16]. Specifically, providers ask, listen, and inform their patients when attempting to elicit motivation towards changes [16]. A systematic literature review involving patients with IBD has suggested that MI can be effective in improving outcomes for individuals suffering from IBD, given that patients displayed improved adherence rates and greater advice-seeking behavior, perceiving providers as having more empathy and better communication skills [15].

CLINICAL SCENARIOS AND KEY ELEMENTS DURING CLINICAL CONSULTATION FOR THE DIAGNOSIS/FIRST VISITS

The first scenario pertains to diagnosis/first visit(s) (Table 2). The emotional impact that is conveyed to any patient and environment when being confronted with the UC diagnosis is usually huge [3,17]. In addition to the initial anxiety and uncertainty, numerous patients report experiencing depressive symptoms and mental blocks after having become aware of their diagnosis [17,18]. Therefore, it is crucial to calm down the patients and help them cope with their new situation [19]. Given this context, effective clinician-patient communication has been shown to overcome barriers, while assisting patients in the decision-making about their care [9]. Communication between clinicians and patients is an interactive process upon which clinicians should listen, be open, receptive, and non-judgmental with patients [9]. Effective communication will contribute to build confidence.

In this scenario, it is also crucial to provide a minimum of information about the disease, its extraintestinal manifestations and treatments, which should be adapted to the patient characteristics/needs and conducted in a constructive way, while also collecting data about the patients' support, especially concerning their family. On the other hand, a reasonable selection of the information to be provided is similarly advisable, thereby giving the patient the opportunity to adequately assume each issue and learn more about it [8,11]. Similarly, the same should be done with respect to the therapeutic plan by sharing treatment decisions and providing positive messages, such as: "Currently, there are several treatment options for this disease, and our objective is to improve your situation". The concept of "chronicity" should also be introduced by using expressions like: "while this is a long-term process, we can control the disease activity and avoid disease progression and symptoms", etc. Regarding health education, general information about diet, hygiene, healthy lifestyle, and other features should be provided[20].

An IBD specialized nurse working in a dedicated unit is likely able to improve all the information that we provide to the patient, resolve fears and doubts, and ameliorate adherence to treatments, care, and healthy habits[21]. Often, patients leave the doctor's office with large amounts of information and they feel overloaded. Therefore, changing the environment and providing a nursing summary can be very useful[19,22]. For communication to be optimal, we should try to additionally give the information in writing, at least with respect to what is essential. Reliable sources on the Internet should also be offered. In this context, many patients seek information on the disease from the internet. However, many times this information is inaccurate and even false, which might produce a negative impact on patients[23].

Table 2 Adapting the consultation to the different clinical scenarios when managing patients with ulcerative colitis

No.	Clinical scenario	Objectives	Key elements
1	Diagnosis/first visits	To engage patients in the management of their disease (treatments, visits, labs, image techniques, contact data, etc.); To inform wisely patients; To collect relevant data about the disease, patients and their environment	Use open questions rather than direct questions; Practice empathy: a climate of trust will make the patient feel comfortable and more information will come out naturally; When informing patients go as far as the patient needs or wants, e.g., "What do you know about UC?"; Explore people's understanding, reactions and opinions about UC information; Explain the therapeutic plan (treatment objectives and goals, available therapies including surgery, response evaluation, etc.); Prepare the consultation: check labs, imaging tests or other available data before seeing the patient and prepare specific attitude, procedures or messages
2	Follow-up visits	To evaluate response to treatment (induction/maintenance), safety and adherence; In the induction phase, to ensure that the patient has achieved the pre-defined objective/goal; In the maintenance phase, to assure that the patient is really in remission and adherent; To explain test results	Use open questions; Solution-focused approach; Let the patients express themselves about their life (family, partner, work/studies, social life, traveling, diet, etc.): e.g., "How are you doing? Are you doing everything you would like to do?"; Be open to patients asking questions; Detail the therapeutic and follow-up plan (e.g., colonoscopy for colon cancer surveillance as indicated); Assess sexuality and fertility issues; Assess pregnancy desire: e.g., when informing about drugs; Practice empathy; Prepare the consultation (see point 1)
3	Patients with active disease	To assess carefully the impact of UC on the patient's life (family, partner, work/academic, social life, traveling, diet, <i>etc.</i>); To adapt the treatment and patient's environment	Explain the therapeutic plan in detail but mainly explain and agree on what the objectives are, treatment goals and options, including surgery (informed shared decision making); Practice empathy; Prepare the consultation (see point 1)
4	Patients on topical therapies	To look for treatment acceptance and adherence	Explain in detail and clearly that topical therapies can be very effective with few adverse events (very good risk/benefit balance); Solution-focused approach; Discuss about the costs, explain their mechanism of action and options to improve comfort; Evaluate the patient's opinion and preferences; Practice empathy
5	Start of a new treatment	To assess carefully the impact of UC on the patient's life (family, partner, work/studies, social life, traveling, diet, etc.); To adapt the treatment and patient's environment; To fully understand the implications of starting a new treatment (changes in daily life, etc.)	Explain in detail and clearly the therapeutic plan but especially safety issues and new adaptations in patient's life; Solution-focused approach; Practice empathy; Prepare the consultation (see point 1)
6	Refractory patients	To assess carefully the impact of UC on the patient's life (family, partner, work/studies, social life, traveling, diet, etc.); To adapt the treatment and patient's environment	Explain the therapeutic plan in detail but mainly explain and agree on how far we are going to go, which are the treatment goals and options, including surgery (shared decision making); Solution-focused approach; Be especially cautious as non-standard treatments/strategies might be considered (e.g., offlabel uses, clinical trials, etc.); Spread positivity, make the patients feel accompanied; Screen for depression/dysthymia; Practice empathy; Prepare the consultation (see point 1)
7	Extra-intestinal manifestations	To inform patients about other symptoms or diseases	Explain the therapeutic plan in detail but mainly explain and agree on how far we are going to go, which are the treatment goals and options, including surgery (shared decision making); Solution-focused approach; Introduce the concept of multidisciplinary and coordinated care; Practice empathy; Prepare the consultation (see point 1)
8	Patients with negative feelings or mistrust	To win the patient over; To be patient but solid	Consider referring the patient to a psychologist; Explore fears, insecurities, frustrations; Do not confront the patient; Practice empathy; Explain the therapeutic plan in detail but mainly explain and agree on how far we are going to go, which are the treatment goals and options, including surgery (shared decision making)

For all sections, we start from the fundamentals of shared decision-making. UC: Ulcerative colitis.

There are several phases and common emotional reactions to the diagnosis, including denial, frustration, anger, and guilt; it is therefore essential to be attentive to the patient's emotional response [24]. In addition, it must be kept in mind that the patient faces significant losses, such as loss of health, of previous lifestyle, of quality of life, etc.

At the first visit, the patient should be offered the possibility to access the consultation, telephone, nurse, e-mail, or walk-in visits, as this has been shown to improve the patient's confidence in the care team[25].

Finally, physicians should keep in mind patient's opinions and preferences[11]. Therefore, it could be very useful to explore their beliefs, needs, and expectations. Moreover, it is advisable to leave some time for the patients, enabling them to ask questions during the consultation and encouraging them to "make a list of questions" if necessary for the next consultations[11].

CLINICAL SCENARIOS AND KEY ELEMENTS DURING CLINICAL CONSULTATION IN **FOLLOW-UP VISITS**

Physicians should continue addressing UC's impact on patients during the entire course of the disease. Indeed, during follow-up visits, patients may already be more aware of the disease's impact; they may thus be more comfortable to express it [22]. Apart from negative emotions, other problems may arise, such as those related to sexuality, sleep, work, or social life. In this context, it is vital to address, in male and female patient's sexuality issues and conception wishes, in order to optimize pre-conceptional, pregnancy and post pregnancy counselling, including the monitoring and therapeutic management of these patients[26]. Assessment of emotional aspects on the other hand should be an integral part of follow-up visits.

The objectives of pharmacological UC treatments are usually focused on controlling or at least reducing physical symptoms. Nevertheless, like in any other type of chronic disease, other psychological and social factors may influence the course of the disease[27]; they thus deserve appropriate attention. A central issue at this point is the assessment of treatment response upon induction and maintenance, in addition to evaluating safety and treatment adherence. While it is crucial to ensure that the patient has achieved the treatment goal, the treatment's impact on safety must likewise be assessed. In addition, considering the high rate of poor adherence in UC[28], health professionals should clearly address this issue, while actively involving the patients in implementing effective and individualized interventions to improve adherence[8].

Similarly to the first visits, information and education are vital in daily consultations, which should be appropriately adapted to the patients' characteristics and needs[11]. These consultations could be an appropriate opportunity to explain the test results to patients, as well as the role of colonoscopy for colon cancer surveillance.

CLINICAL SCENARIOS AND KEY ELEMENTS DURING CLINICAL CONSULTATION IN PATIENTS WITH ACTIVE DISEASE

Relapses are common in UC, and they are associated with a significant impact on different aspects of patients' lives[3,18]. Thus, the impact of disease activity should be carefully assessed, and physicians should thus perform therapeutic adaptations that should be discussed with the patients. Accordingly, the patients will thus feel accompanied.

The emotional impact should be included in the information/education package as disease symptoms. Physical remission does not imply the absence of psychological comorbidity in a high percentage of patients[29]. Therefore, it is essential to provide information about physical symptoms, including diarrhea, abdominal pain, and fever; emotional symptoms like anxiety, depression, irritability, mood changes, and behavioral symptoms, such as decreased activity, restriction of social relations, and isolation[19].

On the other hand, psychological treatments exert beneficial therapeutic effects, as they decrease the worsening cycle of symptoms and positively influence the patients' general well-being[13].

The integration of psychosocial factors into routine clinical practice is likely instrumental in improving quality of life of IBD patients[19].

Considering the treatment, informed shared decision-making is especially relevant in this domain [30]. At this point, physicians may be confronted with patients who are refractory to different treatment lines or types. Apart from detailed information about different treatment options, including surgery, it is even more relevant to discuss the treatment goals and get approval about them ("What is the target we want to achieve?").

CLINICAL SCENARIOS AND KEY ELEMENTS DURING CLINICAL CONSULTATION IN PATIENTS ON TOPICAL THERAPIES

The efficacy of topical therapies, especially in patients with moderate UC, has been extensively described[31]. However, the adherence to topical therapies appears to be lower when compared with oral treatments[32]. This might be related to reluctances, taboos, or other factors. Asking patients about their feelings regarding topical therapy may help anticipate a lack of adherence, thus providing the physician with the opportunity to adapt topical therapy to each patient[8].

Discussing treatment goals with the patient and setting a temporal limit may be of help when addressing topical treatments[8].

CLINICAL SCENARIOS AND KEY ELEMENTS DURING CLINICAL CONSULTATION WHEN STARTING A NEW TREATMENT

During follow-up, a number of patients may require a change in therapy due to a lack or loss of efficacy, or safety reasons. This might be associated with changes in daily life, particularly when the first immunomodulator or biologic agent is being considered. Along with all that has been previously discussed regarding patients with active disease, it must be ensured that the patient has properly understood the implications of starting a new treatment.

When starting new treatments, false beliefs such as "if I change treatment, I will worsen" or "the disease is more serious" should be dismissed. Concerns about the limit of treatments to be used, possibility of surgery, or need for an ostomy should also be addressed.

CLINICAL SCENARIOS AND KEY ELEMENTS DURING CLINICAL CONSULTATION IN REFRACTORY DISEASE PATIENTS

In daily consultation, some patients may display several failures to treatment, including biologics; they may experience frustration and despair[22]. Therefore, it is crucial to distribute positivity but also reality, given that new treatments with different mechanisms of action are being made available [19]. In some centers, authorized treatments/strategies might be considered, including off-label uses, clinical trial participation, as well as other treatments. Screening for depression/dysthymia may be required given such a clinical scenario.

It is necessary to train non-psychologists in assessing and managing emotional aspects, such as identifying symptoms related to stress, anxiety, and depression, which are among the most common

CLINICAL SCENARIOS AND KEY ELEMENTS DURING CLINICAL CONSULTATION IN PATIENTS WITH EXTRA-INTESTINAL MANIFESTATIONS

As estimated, up to 50% of IBD patients experience at least one extra-intestinal manifestation, which can even occur before the IBD is being diagnosed [33]. In view of an early detection, patients should be aware that different symptoms or signs may occur, which are actually related to UC, while deserving specific actions, including consultation with other specialists. In addition, information about multidisciplinary care and coordination among health professionals will likely help control fears and doubts[19,25].

CLINICAL SCENARIOS AND KEY ELEMENTS DURING CLINICAL CONSULTATION IN PATIENTS WITH NEGATIVE FEELINGS OR MISTRUST

Finally, some patients may experience negative emotions, as they are reluctant to accept existing problems or proposed solutions, disadvantages, insufficient answers, etc. Such scenarios can be particularly frustrating during the consultation. Considering these patients, one should not try to "convince them", given that they are likely to become even more isolated. Instead, making them understand that we are on their team, and that they are actually responsible for their disease management is crucial [25]. In some cases, a referral to a psychologist could be an appropriate solution[34].

Healthy lifestyle information should be based on guidelines on how to handle stressful situations. Illness is a source of stress, and stress is considered a risk factor for increased severity of physical symptoms[13]. For this purpose, it is advisable to refer to reliable web pages, brochures, conferences, workshops, or even patient associations, as some of them do provide psychological assistance.

CONCLUSION

UC is a chronic, heterogeneous, and evolving disease, whose clinical features and disease impact, as well as patients' needs, opinions, and preferences may completely differ depending on the disease status[3-6,11]. In daily practice, the clinical picture of patients at early disease stages compared with that of patients with long-standing disease is not at all the same. Therefore, the physicians' attitudes and decisions should take these facts into account during the clinical consultation. Moreover, there is growing evidence suggesting that there is improved performance in this area to the benefit of both patients and clinicians[9,35].

Effective communication has emerged as a very valuable tool to superior informed decisions by patients during the clinical consultation [9]. Communication is crucial in establishing trust with UC patients, gathering patient information, addressing patient emotions, and assisting patients in the decision-making about their care [9,35]. This article sought to discuss some guidelines for physicians about how to implement effective communication during clinical consultation, as adapted to several clinical scenarios in which the objectives, attitudes, and messages most likely differ. Simple, practical, and implementable key elements including empathy, open questions, patient-centered care and information, solution-focused approach, or MI have herein been proposed.

Along with effective communication, we also suggest other actions like preparing the consultation or using appropriate verbal and non-verbal language, which have already demonstrated an effect on patient's management[36].

Some of the strengths of this work are as follows: innovation in the treatment of UC patients, how to initiate an updated patient management model, using a check list to prepare the different consultations, as well as having a specialist nurse and psychologist as external evaluators. The article's weaknesses comprise the lack of clinical evaluation or the absence of other scenarios that would have been interesting to address, such as the transition of the child to adult unit or continuity of care during admissions. Nevertheless, we consider that these items were beyond the paper's scope of interest.

In conclusion, research in UC clearly shows that it is essential to build a physician-patient partnership, focusing on the problem at hand, laying out the treatment options available along with their benefit/risk balance, eliciting the patient's views and preferences on treatment options, and agreeing on a course of action via shared decision-making. For these purposes, specific communication skills are necessary; they all can be learned and should be implemented during clinical consultations. We are confident that the guide provided in this article for clinical consultation will help physicians better manage UC patients.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We would like to thank Pablo Bella and Laura Camacho for their review of the final article version. Estibaliz Loza, who works at the institute of musculoskeletal health (Instituto de Salud Musculoesquelé tica), which was funded by Pfizer, provided assistance in methodological coordination and in developing this manuscript.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: González-Lama Y, Ricart E, Cábez A, Fortes P, Gómez S, and Casellas F conceived the idea for the manuscript, reviewed the literature and drafted the manuscript.

Conflict-of-interest statement: Fortes P and Cábez A are employees of Pfizer (Spain). González-Lama Y, Casellas F, and Ricart E have received consultancy fees from Pfizer S.L.U. for their work in this project but have no other relevant financial relationships to disclose. The authors have no other relevant affiliations or financial involvement with any organization or entity with a financial interest in or financial conflict with the subject matter or materials discussed in this manuscript apart from those disclosed. González-Lama Y received research funding from AbbVie, Ferring, MSD, Takeda, Janssen, and Pfizer; speaker fees from AbbVie, Ferring, Gebro, Takeda, and Janssen. Casellas F received research funding from AbbVie, Ferring, MSD, Shire, Takeda, Zambon and Pfizer; speaker fees from AbbVie, Chiesi, Ferring, Gebro, MSD, Shire, Takeda, and Zambon.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: Spain

ORCID number: Yago Gonzalez Lama 0000-0003-3896-7914; Elena Ricart 0000-0003-3354-1594; Ana Cábez 0000-0003-0808-8855; Pilar Fortes 0000-0001-9420-321X; Susana Gómez 0000-0002-5337-2970; Francesc Casellas 0000-0003-3196-039X.

S-Editor: Zhang H L-Editor: Filipodia P-Editor: Zhang H

REFERENCES

- 1 Ye Y, Pang Z, Chen W, Ju S, Zhou C. The epidemiology and risk factors of inflammatory bowel disease. Int J Clin Exp Med 2015; 8: 22529-22542 [PMID: 26885239]
- Rogler G, Zeitz J, Biedermann L. The Search for Causative Environmental Factors in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. Dig Dis 2016; 34 Suppl 1: 48-55 [PMID: 27548430 DOI: 10.1159/000447283]
- 3 Heikens JT, de Vries J, van Laarhoven CJ. Quality of life, health-related quality of life and health status in patients having restorative proctocolectomy with ileal pouch-anal anastomosis for ulcerative colitis: a systematic review. Colorectal Dis 2012; **14**: 536-544 [PMID: 21176062 DOI: 10.1111/j.1463-1318.2010.02538.x]
- Yarlas A, Rubin DT, Panés J, Lindsay JO, Vermeire S, Bayliss M, Cappelleri JC, Maher S, Bushmakin AG, Chen LA, DiBonaventura M. Burden of Ulcerative Colitis on Functioning and Well-being: A Systematic Literature Review of the SF-36® Health Survey. J Crohns Colitis 2018; 12: 600-609 [PMID: 29718244 DOI: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjy024]
- Knowles SR, Keefer L, Wilding H, Hewitt C, Graff LA, Mikocka-Walus A. Quality of Life in Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Systematic Review and Meta-analyses-Part II. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2018; 24: 966-976 [PMID: 29688466 DOI: 10.1093/ibd/izy015]
- Fourie S, Jackson D, Aveyard H. Living with Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A review of qualitative research studies. Int J Nurs Stud 2018; 87: 149-156 [PMID: 30125834 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2018.07.017]
- Epstein RM, Street RL Jr. The values and value of patient-centered care. Ann Fam Med 2011; 9: 100-103 [PMID: 21403134 DOI: 10.1370/afm.1239]
- Casellas F, González-Lama Y, Ginard Vicens D, García-López S, Muñoz F, Marín Sánchez L, Camacho L, Cabez A, Fortes P, Gómez S, Bella Castillo P, Barreiro-de Acosta M. Adherence improvement in patients with ulcerative colitis: a multidisciplinary consensus document. Rev Esp Enferm Dig 2022; 114: 156-165 [PMID: 34254522 DOI: 10.17235/reed.2021.8130/2021]
- Street RL Jr, Makoul G, Arora NK, Epstein RM. How does communication heal? Patient Educ Couns 2009; 74: 295-301 [PMID: 19150199 DOI: 10.1016/j.pec.2008.11.015]
- Arora NK, Weaver KE, Clayman ML, Oakley-Girvan I, Potosky AL. Physicians' decision-making style and psychosocial outcomes among cancer survivors. Patient Educ Couns 2009; 77: 404-412 [PMID: 19892508 DOI: 10.1016/j.pec.2009.10.0041
- Casellas F, Guinard Vicens D, García-López S, González-Lama Y, Argüelles-Arias F, Barreiro-de Acosta M, Marín Sánchez L, Mendive JM, Saldaña R, Cabez A, Gómez S, Loza E. Consensus document on the management preferences of patients with ulcerative colitis: points to consider and recommendations. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 32: 1514-1522 [PMID: 32804838 DOI: 10.1097/MEG.0000000000001885]
- Baars JE, Markus T, Kuipers EJ, van der Woude CJ. Patients' preferences regarding shared decision-making in the treatment of inflammatory bowel disease: results from a patient-empowerment study. Digestion 2010; 81: 113-119 [PMID: 20093836 DOI: 10.1159/000253862]
- Bernabeu P, van-der Hofstadt C, Rodríguez-Marín J, Gutierrez A, Alonso MR, Zapater P, Jover R, Sempere L. Effectiveness of a Multicomponent Group Psychological Intervention Program in Patients with Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Randomized Trial. Int J Environ Res Public Health 2021; 18 [PMID: 34069621 DOI: 10.3390/ijerph18105439]
- Neilson K, Ftanou M, Monshat K, Salzberg M, Bell S, Kamm MA, Connell W, Knowles SR, Sevar K, Mancuso SG, Castle D. A Controlled Study of a Group Mindfulness Intervention for Individuals Living With Inflammatory Bowel Disease. Inflamm Bowel Dis 2016; 22: 694-701 [PMID: 26529560 DOI: 10.1097/MIB.0000000000000629]
- Wagoner ST, Kavookjian J. The Influence of Motivational Interviewing on Patients With Inflammatory Bowel Disease: A Systematic Review of the Literature. *J Clin Med Res* 2017; **9**: 659-666 [PMID: 28725313 DOI: 10.14740/jocmr3081w]
- 16 Levensky ER, Forcehimes A, O'Donohue WT, Beitz K. Motivational interviewing: an evidence-based approach to counseling helps patients follow treatment recommendations. Am J Nurs 2007; 107: 50-8; quiz 58 [PMID: 17895731 DOI: 10.1097/01.naj.0000292202.06571.24]
- Kim YS, Jung SA, Lee KM, Park SJ, Kim TO, Choi CH, Kim HG, Moon W, Moon CM, Song HK, Na SY, Yang SK; Korean Association for the Study of Intestinal Diseases (KASID). Impact of inflammatory bowel disease on daily life: an online survey by the Korean Association for the Study of Intestinal Diseases. Intest Res 2017; 15: 338-344 [PMID: 28670230 DOI: 10.5217/ir.2017.15.3.338]
- 18 Rapport F, Clement C, Seagrove AC, Alrubaiy L, Hutchings HA, Williams JG. Patient views about the impact of ulcerative colitis and its management with drug treatment and surgery: a nested qualitative study within the CONSTRUCT trial. BMC Gastroenterol 2019; 19: 166 [PMID: 31615445 DOI: 10.1186/s12876-019-1085-y]
- Barreiro-de Acosta M, Marín-Jiménez I, Panadero A, Guardiola J, Cañas M, Gobbo Montoya M, Modino Y, Alcaín G, Bosca-Watts MM, Calvet X, Casellas F, Chaparro M, Fernández Salazar L, Ferreiro-Iglesias R, Ginard D, Iborra M, Manceñido N, Mañosa M, Merino O, Rivero M, Roncero O, Sempere L, Vega P, Zabana Y, Mínguez M, Nos P, Gisbert JP. Recommendations of the Spanish Working Group on Crohn's Disease and Ulcerative Colitis (GETECCU) and the Association of Crohn's Disease and Ulcerative Colitis Patients (ACCU) in the management of psychological problems in Inflammatory Bowel Disease patients. Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018; 41: 118-127 [PMID: 29275001 DOI: 10.1016/j.gastrohep.2017.10.003]
- Sicilia B, García-López S, González-Lama Y, Zabana Y, Hinojosa J, Gomollón F; Grupo Español de Trabajo de Enfermedad de Crohn; Colitis Ulcerosa o Spanish Group for Working on Crohn's Disease and Ulcerative Colitis (GETECCU). GETECCU 2020 guidelines for the treatment of ulcerative colitis. Developed using the GRADE approach. Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 43 Suppl 1: 1-57 [PMID: 32807301 DOI: 10.1016/j.gastrohep.2020.07.001]
- Choe MY, Wright R, Parian A. Follow-up Care in Inflammatory Bowel Disease: An Integrative Review. Gastroenterol Nurs 2021; 44: E48-R58 [PMID: 34037572 DOI: 10.1097/SGA.0000000000000570]

924

Gobbo M, Carmona L, Panadero A, Cañas M, Modino Y, Romero C, Guardiola J, Marín-Jiménez I, Barreiro-de Acosta M. The psychosocial impact of inflammatory bowel disease and its management. From the patients' perspective. Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018; 41: 640-642 [PMID: 29422236 DOI: 10.1016/j.gastrohep.2017.12.006]

- 23 Yoon JS, Lee SJ, Kim ES, Kim SK, Jung MK, Lee HS, Kwon YH, Nam SY, Jeon SW, Jin S, Lee JS, Yeo SJ. Quality of information on the Internet for Korean patients with inflammatory bowel disease. Korean J Intern Med 2019; 34: 1215-1222 [PMID: 30257552 DOI: 10.3904/kjim.2018.019]
- Larsson K, Lööf L, Nordin K. Stress, coping and support needs of patients with ulcerative colitis or Crohn's disease: a qualitative descriptive study. J Clin Nurs 2017; 26: 648-657 [PMID: 27626615 DOI: 10.1111/jocn.13581]
- Coenen S, Weyts E, Vermeire S, Ferrante M, Noman M, Ballet V, Vanhaecht K, Van Assche G. Effects of introduction of an inflammatory bowel disease nurse position on the quality of delivered care. Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2017; 29: 646-650 [PMID: 28118176 DOI: 10.1097/MEG.0000000000000839]
- Torres J, Chaparro M, Julsgaard M, Katsanos K, Zelinkova Z, Agrawal M, Ardizzone S, Campmans-Kuijpers M, Dragoni G, Ferrante M, Fiorino G, Flanagan E, Frias Gomes C, Hart A, Hedin CR, Juillerat P, Mulders A, Myrelid P, O'Toole A, Rivière P, Scharl M, Selinger CP, Sonnenberg E, Toruner M, Wieringa J, Van der Woude CJ. European Crohn's and Colitis Guidelines on Sexuality, Fertility, Pregnancy, and Lactation. J Crohns Colitis 2022; Epub ahead of print [PMID: 36005814 DOI: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjac115]
- Fairbrass KM, Gracie DJ, Ford AC. Relative Contribution of Disease Activity and Psychological Health to Prognosis of Inflammatory Bowel Disease During 6.5 Years of Longitudinal Follow-Up. Gastroenterology 2022; 163: 190-203.e5 [PMID: 35339461 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2022.03.014]
- Jackson CA, Clatworthy J, Robinson A, Horne R. Factors associated with non-adherence to oral medication for inflammatory bowel disease: a systematic review. Am J Gastroenterol 2010; 105: 525-539 [PMID: 19997092 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2009.685]
- Iglesias-Rey M, Barreiro-de Acosta M, Caamaño-Isorna F, Rodríguez IV, González AL, Lindkvist B, Domínguez-Muñoz E. How do psychological variables influence coping strategies in inflammatory bowel disease? J Crohns Colitis 2013; 7: e219-e226 [PMID: 23083699 DOI: 10.1016/j.crohns.2012.09.017]
- Xu D, Zhang H, Chen Y. Patients' views of shared decision making in inflammatory bowel disease: a survey in China. BMC Med Inform Decis Mak 2021; 21: 340 [PMID: 34872536 DOI: 10.1186/s12911-021-01702-8]
- Le Berre C, Roda G, Nedeljkovic Protic M, Danese S, Peyrin-Biroulet L. Modern use of 5-aminosalicylic acid compounds for ulcerative colitis. Expert Opin Biol Ther 2020; 20: 363-378 [PMID: 31498003 DOI: 10.1080/14712598.2019.1666101]
- D'Incà R, Bertomoro P, Mazzocco K, Vettorato MG, Rumiati R, Sturniolo GC. Risk factors for non-adherence to medication in inflammatory bowel disease patients. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2008; 27: 166-172 [PMID: 17949472 DOI: 10.1111/j.1365-2036.2007.03555.x]
- Harbord M, Annese V, Vavricka SR, Allez M, Barreiro-de Acosta M, Boberg KM, Burisch J, De Vos M, De Vries AM, Dick AD, Juillerat P, Karlsen TH, Koutroubakis I, Lakatos PL, Orchard T, Papay P, Raine T, Reinshagen M, Thaci D, Tilg H, Carbonnel F; European Crohn's and Colitis Organisation. The First European Evidence-based Consensus on Extraintestinal Manifestations in Inflammatory Bowel Disease. J Crohns Colitis 2016; 10: 239-254 [PMID: 26614685 DOI: 10.1093/ecco-jcc/jjv213]
- Tiles-Sar N, Neuser J, de Sordi D, Rücker G, Baltes A, Preiss J, Moser G, Timmer A. Psychological interventions for inflammatory bowel disease: a systematic review and component network meta-analysis protocol. BMJ Open 2022; 12: e056982 [PMID: 35732389 DOI: 10.1136/bmjopen-2021-056982]
- Thomson R, Edwards A, Grey J. Risk communication in the clinical consultation. Clin Med (Lond) 2005; 5: 465-469 [PMID: 16268328 DOI: 10.7861/clinmedicine.5-5-465]
- Pensieri C, Delle Chiaie G, Vincenzi B, Nobile L, De Benedictis A, D'aprile M, Alloni R. Doctor-patient communication tricks. Oncological study at Campus Bio-Medico University of Rome. Clin Ter 2018; 169: e224-e230 [PMID: 30393809 DOI: 10.7417/CT.2018.2083]



Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-3991568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk

https://www.wjgnet.com

