SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Certolizumab Pegol for the Treatment of Plaque Psoriasis in Routine Clinical Practice: One-Year Results from the CIMREAL Study

Bernhard Korge, Olivier Vanhooteghem², Charles W Lynde^{3,4}, Alena Machovcova⁵, Marc Perrussel⁶, Elisavet Lazaridou⁷, Claudio Marasca⁸, David Vidal Sarro⁹, Ines Duenas Pousa ¹⁰, Frederik Fierens¹¹, Paulette Williams¹², Saori Shimizu¹¹, Tanja Heidbrede¹³ and Richard B Warren^{14,15}

Affiliations:

¹Dermatology Practice Dr. Bernhard Korge, Düren, Germany; ²Clinique Sainte-Elisabeth, Place Louise Godin 15, Namur 5000, Belgium; ³The Lynde Institute for Dermatology & Lynderm Research Inc. Department of Medicine, Division of Dermatology, University of Toronto; ⁴ Probity Medical Research, Markham, Ontario, Canada; ⁵Department of Dermatovenerology, University Hospital Motol, Prague, Czech Republic; ⁶CHU de Rennes, Pontchaillou, Rennes, France; ⁷2nd Department of Dermatology, Aristotle University School of Medicine, Papageorgiou General Hospital, Thessaloniki, Greece; ⁸Antonio Cardarelli National Hospital, Naples, Italy; ⁹Hospital Sant Joan Despi Moises Broggi, Barcelona, Spain; ¹⁰UCB Pharma, Madrid, Spain; ¹¹UCB Pharma, Brussels, Belgium; ¹²UCB Pharma, Morrisville, NC, USA; ¹³UCB Biosciences Monheim, Germany; ¹⁴Dermatology Centre, Northern Care Alliance NHS Foundation Trust; ¹⁵NIHR Manchester Biomedical Research Centre, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, UK.

Corresponding author:

Bernhard Korge MD PhD

Department and Institution: Oberstraße 75-77, 52349 Düren, Germany

Phone: +4915207850000

Fax: +492421306380

Contents

Supplementary Methods	3
Supplementary Table 1	5
Supplementary Table 2	8
Supplementary Table 3	g

Supplementary Methods

Assessment of PSO severity

Patient inclusion in this study was based on the physician's assessment during routine examinations confirming the patients' diagnosis status of moderate to severe psoriasis (PSO) as per European guidelines¹ and eligibility for biologic therapy as per locally applicable criteria.

Assessment of PASI

Physicians assessed PASI on patient's body using the following approach:

- 1. The body was divided into four areas: head, arms, trunk to groin, and legs to the top of buttocks.
- 2. An average score was assigned for erythema, thickness, and scaling (scored individually) for each of the four body areas, ranging from 0 (clear) to 4 (very marked). These scores for redness, thickness, and scaliness were recorded in the eCRF.
- 3. The percentage of skin covered with PSO for each body area was determined and converted to a 0 to 6 scale (0 = 0%; 1 = <10%; 2 = 10% to <30%; 3 = 30% to <50%; 4 = 50% to <70%; 5 = 70% to <90%; 6 = 90%–100%).

The PASI at baseline was collected up to 6 weeks before the first dose of CZP.

Assessment of DLQI

The DLQI is a skin disease-specific questionnaire used for adult patients with PSO that describes the overall impact of skin disease on a patient's life as follows: 0-1 = "no effect," 2-5 = "small effect," 6-10 = "moderate effect," 11-20 = "very large effect," and 21-30 = "extremely large effect". The DLQI was collected up to 6 weeks before the first dose of CZP.

Assessment of Self-injection Assessment Questionnaire (SIAQ)

SIAQ, a validated questionnaire assesses patient-perceived advantages and potential limitations of self-injection of subcutaneous medication.

Post-SIAQ (version 2.1) comprises 21 questions with no specific recall period. The post-SIAQ items were grouped into six domains: feelings about injection (3 items), self-image (1 item), self-confidence (3 items), pain and skin reactions during or after the injection (2 questions/8 items), ease of use of the self-injection device (5 items), and satisfaction with self-injection (7 items). Patients responded on a 5-point or 6-point semantic scale, with scores ranging from 0 to 10, indicating a better experience with self-injection.

Responses to the post-SIAQ version 2.1 were obtained during routine clinical practice for self-injecting patients, and the study staff recorded whether the assessment was completed as outlined in the Schedule of Study Assessments.

Safety Assessment

The relevant safety information collected by the treating physician and reported through the routine practice pharmacovigilance system included reports of (serious) adverse events ([S]AEs) and/or (serious) adverse drug reactions ([S]ADRs), including (serious) adverse events of interest. Pregnancy/breastfeeding information was also collected. (Serious) adverse device effects ([S]ADEs) (for patients using ava electronic device only). Device deficiencies (for patients using ava electronic device only).

Supplementary Table 1. Country-wise psoriasis treatment history (SAS)

Country	Variable n (%)	Total			
Belgium, n=33	Female	22 (66.7)			
	BMI, mean \pm SD	25.5 ± 3.9			
	Treatment Type, n (%)				
	Any Prior Psoriasis Medications	33 (100)			
	Prior Non-Biologic Systemic	31 (93.9)			
	Agent ^a	31 (73.7)			
	Methotrexate	29 (87.9)			
	Cyclosporine	24 (72.7)			
	Apremilast	2 (6.1)			
	Acitretin	1 (3.0)			
	Tacrolimus	1 (3.0)			
	Prior Biologic Agent	8 (24.2)			
Canada, n=36	Females	23 (63.9)			
	BMI, mean \pm SD	34.0 ± 9.9			
	Treatment Type, n (%)				
	Any Prior Psoriasis Medications	36 (100)			
	Prior Non-Biologic Systemic	22 (61.1)			
	Agent ^a	, , ,			
	Methotrexate	14 (38.9)			
	Apremilast	5 (13.9)			
	Acitretin	4 (11.1)			
	Prednisone	4 (11.1)			
	Cyclosporine	3 (8.3)			
~	Prior Biologic Agent	17 (47.2)			
Czech Republic,	Females	15 (60.0)			
n= 25	BMI, mean \pm SD	28.6 ± 6.5			
	Treatment Type, n (%)	24 (0 (0)			
	Any Prior Psoriasis Medications	24 (96.0)			
	Prior Non-Biologic Systemic Agent ^a	23 (92.0)			
	Methotrexate	21 (84.0)			
	Acitretin	12 (48.0)			
	Cyclosporine	9 (36.0)			
	Apremilast	5 (20)			
	Prior Biologic Agent	5 (20.0)			

France, n=20	Females	13 (65.0)
,	BMI, mean \pm SD	27.7 ± 4.5
	Treatment Type, n (%)	
	Any Prior Psoriasis Medications	20 (100)
	Prior Non-Biologic Systemic	17 (95 0)
	Agent ^a	17 (85.0)
	Methotrexate	10 (50.0)
	Apremilast	6 (30.0)
	Cyclosporine	3 (15.0)
	Acitretin	2 (10.0)
	Prior Biologic Agent	10 (50.0)
Germany, n=86	Females	54 (62.8)
	BMI, mean \pm SD	27.4 ± 6.2
	Treatment Type, n (%)	
	Any Prior Psoriasis Medications	69 (80.2)
	Prior Non-Biologic Systemic	51 (59.3)
	Agent ^a	` ′
	Methotrexate	27 (31.4)
	Fumaric acid esters	16 (18.6)
	Dimethyl Fumarate	14 (16.3)
	Apremilast	9 (10.5)
	Cyclosporine	5 (5.8)
	Acitretin	1 (1.2)
	Prior Biologic Agent	22 (25.6)
Greece, n=106	Females	67 (63.2)
	BMI, mean \pm SD	29.4 ± 6.8
	Treatment Type, n (%)	
	Any Prior Psoriasis Medications	101 (95.3)
	Prior Non-Biologic Systemic	66 (62.3)
	Agent ^a	, , ,
	Apremilast	37 (34.9)
	Cyclosporine	23 (21.7)
	Methotrexate	13 (12.3)
	Acitretin	12 (11.3)
T. 1	Prior Biologic Agent	46 (43.4)
Italy, n=57	Females	49 (86.0)
	BMI, mean \pm SD	26.0 ± 5.3
	Treatment Type, n (%)	52 (02 0)
	Any Prior Psoriasis Medications	53 (93.0)
	Prior Non-Biologic Systemic	38 (66.7)
	Agent ^a Cyclomorine	, , ,
	Cyclosporine	22 (38.6)
	Methotrexate	14 (24.6)
	Dimethyl Fumarate	3 (5.3)
	Acitretin	3 (5.3)

	Apremilast	2 (3.5)
	Prior Biologic Agent	14 (24.6)
Spain, n=17	Females	11 (64.7)
•	BMI, mean \pm SD	29.1 ± 3.8
	Treatment Type, n (%)	
	Any Prior Psoriasis Medications	14 (82.4)
	Prior Non-Biologic Systemic Agent ^a	7 (41.2)
	Methotrexate	6 (35.3)
	Cyclosporine	6 (35.3)
	Acitretin	1 (5.9)
	Apremilast	1 (5.9)
	Prior Biologic Agent	10 (58.8)
United Kingdom,	Females	18 (94.7)
n=19	BMI, mean \pm SD	29.8 ± 9.3
	Treatment Type, n (%)	
	Any Prior Psoriasis Medications	19 (100)
	Prior Non-Biologic Systemic Agent ^a	17 (89.5)
	Methotrexate	14 (73.7)
	Cyclosporine	13 (68.4)
	Fumaric acid esters	3 (15.8)
	Apremilast	2 (10.5)
	Prior Biologic Agent	11 (57.9)

^aOnly the most representative drugs are included in the table. BMI, body mass index; SD, standard deviation.

Supplementary Table 2. Evolution of PASI and DLQI in all countries (FAS; OC)

	Be	lgium		zech public	C	anada	F	rance	Ge	rmany	Gı	reece	Italy		Italy		Spair		pain United Kingdor	
	n	mean	n	mean	n	mean	n	mean	n	mean	n	mean	n	mean	n	mean	n	mean		
PASI																				
Baseline	27	16.7	23	18.0	36	11.9	15	8.9	82	12.4	101	13.8	57	12.7	15	11.2	15	8.7		
Month 3	29	5.7	22	4.1	32	3.0	14	3.8	79	4.9	99	4.9	41	5.0	14	4.1	13	2.6		
Month 12	15	1.3	17	1.5	26	1.8	8	1.9	65	2.8	95	1.2	44	0.9	6	2.0	7	5.0		
DLQI							•						•							
Baseline	27	9.6	23	16.9	36	15.1	13	10.5	81	12.8	101	12.1	45	10.1	15	13.1	14	11.4		
Month 3	19	4.6	22	6.0	31	6.3	12	4.6	80	5.9	98	5.8	33	5.4	13	7.5	14	7.6		
Month 12	14	1.3	17	1.7	26	3.8	7	1.7	64	3.0	95	1.4	36	1.8	6	3.2	6	9.0		

DLQI, Dermatology Life Quality Index; FAS, full analysis set, OC, observed case; PASI, Psoriasis Area and Severity Index.

Supplementary Table 3. PASI and DLQI outcomes in subgroup populations (OC)

Subgroup, n/N (%)	PAS	SI 75	PAS	SI 90	DLQI (0/1)						
	3 months	12 months	3 months	12 months	3 months	12 months					
Sex											
Male	49/110 (44.5)	70/92 23/110 47/92 (76.1) (20.9) (51.2)									63/92 (68.5)
Female	101/223 (45.3)	148/191 (77.5)	55/223 (24.7)	113/191 (59.2)	55/214 (25.7)						
Biological treat	tment status										
Bionaïve	102/214 (47.7)	143/180 (79.4)	53/214 (24.8)	104/180 (57.8)	62/208 (29.8)	100/177 (56.5)					
Biologic experienced	48/119 (40.3)	75/103 (72.8)	25/119 (21.0)	56/103 (54.4)	30/115 (26.1)	62/97 (63.9)					
Disease severit	y at Baseline	<u>.</u>			I						
PASI and DLQI ≤ 10	22/62 (35.5)	30/51 (58.8)	17/62 (27.4)	22/51 (43.1)	24/63 (38.1)	34/51 (66.7)					
PASI and DLQI > 10	124/266 (46.6)	185/226 (81.9)	57/266 (21.4)	135/226 (59.7)	68/260 (26.2)	128/222 (57.7)					
Comorbidities											
With comorbidities	71/145 (49.0)	95/120 (79.2)	38/145 (26.2)	65/120 (54.2)	37/109 (33.9)	63/92 (68.5)					
Without comorbidities	79/188 (42.0)	123/163 (75.5)	40/188 (21.3)	95/163 (58.3)	55/214 (25.7)	99/182 (54.4)					
Maintenance d	ose at baseli	ne									

200 mg Q2W	112/242	156/203	63/242	117/203	67/231	112/195
	(46.3)	(76.8)	(26.0)	(57.6)	(29.8)	(56.5)
400 mg Q2W	38/91	61/80	15/91	43/80	25/92	50/79
	(41.8)	(77.5)	(16.5)	(53.8)	(27.2)	(63.3)

DLQI: Dermatology Life Quality Index; OC: observed case; PASI: Psoriasis Area Severity Index; Q2W: every two weeks.

Reference(s):

Reference

1. Hongbo Y, Thomas CL, Harrison MA, et al. Translating the science of quality of life into practice: What do dermatology life quality index scores mean? *J Invest Dermatol* 2005; **125**:659-64.